

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,447	12/12/2003	William H. Shepard	05918-209001 / 99999 2020	
26161	7590 11/24/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC		1	BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
P.O. BOX 10 MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3677	
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/735,447	SHEPARD ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James R. Brittain	3677			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI					
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS	has animate the date of filing a built	will not be entered b	000100		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further composed in the properties of the properties of the matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); etter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); . ducing or simplifying			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>	): The rejection of the claims under	35 U.S.C. 112, secon	nd paragraph.		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 19-27.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered b</li> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	James R. Brittain	~		
	•	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3677			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim construction doesn't preclude the application of the art as indicated. While applicant argues the secondary nature of the bonding used by Jackson, there is no claim limitation precluding the secondary bonding taught by Jackson as utilized in the rejections.